Earl Ball and Linda Ball, Plaintiffs

619 S. Cochise Ave.

Willcox, Az 85643

716-440-5440

CV20190027

CASE NUMBER

COMPLAINT

CIVIL

DIV. 6

Bert Ball, Defendant

10177 Dutch Hill Rd.

West Valley, New York 14171

716-592-9168

Plaintiffs allege:

- 1. This claim arises from: Tort.
- 2. Venue in this precinct is proper because: the plaintiffs reside in this jurisdiction per ARS 12-401-1.
- 3. The defendant is being sued in this case for the damages caused by his slander and defamation of character, conspiracy to defraud, and malicious and inflammatory statements.
- 4. The plaintiff is asking: Court costs and fifty thousand Dollars in actual damages, \$200,000 in punitive, plus a restraining order.
- 5. We state under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct.

Date:_	7/3/2019	Earl Ball Earl Ball	Plaintiff
Date:_	7/3/2019	Linda Ball	Plaintiff

Earl Ball and Linda Ball

Plaintiffs In Pro Se

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

30

32

619 S. Cochise Ave.

Willcox, Az 85643

The land land

2819 JUL -3 AM 11: 07

COCHISE COUNTY SUPERIROR COURT IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF COCHISE

9							
10	EARL BALL,		ī	CASE NO. C V 201900270			
11			1	CASE NO.			
12	LINDA BALL,		1				
13	Plaintiffs,		1				
14			1	PLEADING IN SUPPORT OF TORT			
15	Vs.		1	CLAIM			
16	BERT BALL,						
17	DERT BILLE,		i	JURY TRIAL REQUESTED			
18		Respondent	1				
19							
20		Argument in support of tort claim hereto attached.					
21							
22	Respectfully submitted this 3 rd day of July, 2019.						
23							
24	er.			A			
25				_ Carl Ball			
26				Earl Ball, Plaintiff, In Pro Se			
27							
28							
29				Jula Sale			

Linda Ball, Plaintiff, In Pro Se

ARGUMENT IN SUPPORT OF CLAIM:

This tort complaint arises from an illegal search and seizure conducted by Detective D. Reno (badge #272) of the Willcox Arizona Police Department on October 26, 2016 caused by the Respondent.

I

The chain of events began on October 24, 2016 when defendant (the plaintiff Linda Ball's ex-husband) called the Safford Arizona Parole Office and spoke to Parole Officer David Adams. Defendant was angry that his older brother had agreed to provide his ex-wife (who had fled from an abusive marriage on advice from counsel) with safety and sanctuary. His intent was to punish his older brother who, at the time, had been recently released from prison after serving the sentence that had been imposed. The Defendant made up a story that the Plaintiff Linda Ball had stolen "his child pornography tapes" and transported them to Arizona to deliver them to his brother, the plaintiff Earl Ball. Defendant enlisted the aid of Parole Officers David Adams, Raul Maza and Supervisor Don Verrett as he lied about the pornography, because the knew that information would insure his brother would be returned to prison. Officer Adams reportedly told the defendant that he could guarantee his brother would be returned to prison for 1 – 3 years. All of the above Safford Parole Officers named are now Defendants in a Federal Civil Rights lawsuit file by plaintiffs, Earl Ball and Linda Ball.

II

On October 25, 2016 Parole Officer Raul Maza drove to Linda Ball's residence to contact Mr. Earl Ball, but no one was home. Mr. Maza left his business card in the door jam of the back door, but no message. After returning from the grocery

 store, Mr. Earl Ball found the card when it fell to the ground after the back door was opened. Mr. Earl Ball immediately called Mr. Maza. The parole officer told Mr. Ball that the reason he had stopped by was to give him some new instructions on the further action he was requiring him to take. However, Mr. Maza did not have that information with him at that time and requested Mr. Ball call him again in the morning to obtain further instructions. Mr. Ball agreed to do so.

The following morning Mr. Earl Ball received a phone call from Mr. Maza who told him that he was on his way to the Willcox residence. When the parole officers arrived they informed Earl and Linda Ball that they were going to perform a search and began to search the vehicle then the residence. Despite their efforts they never found what they were looking for: video tapes containing child pornography. Nor did they find any VCR in the residence or the vehicle. The only items they questioned and concentrated on were some photocopies along with a Civil Rights Lawsuit (CV16-0322TUVDCBSPOT) in a black briefcase that was on the floor of the office beside Linda's desk. When the parole officers questioned the residents about the above described items, Mr. Earl Ball explained that the photocopies and the Civil Rights Lawsuit (that had been filed on 6/02/2016 shortly before Mr. Earl Ball was released from prison) were a legal package that was being held until the court notified Mr. Earl Ball that the court was ready for presentation of evidence. When Linda Ball tried to answer the police officers' questions, they recommended that she stay out of it. The truth was Linda Ball had secured the evidence and the original copy of the lawsuit in her black briefcase until the court

requested it, she believed that Mr. Earl Ball should not hold it in his possession.

Again, she was advised if she didn't want to be charged she should stay out of it.

Ш

The Safford Parole Office Supervisor Don Verett phoned the Willcox Police Department to request officers to be sent to the Willcox residence. Despite Mr. Earl Ball's explanation, the Chief of the Willcox Police Department was also summoned to give his advice on the circumstances. Chief Rios determined Mr. Earl Ball and Linda Ball the plaintiffs could not be telling the truth. So, because Chief Rios does not know the law, Mr. Ball was handcuffed, taken to the Willcox Police Department and then without any charges was transferred to Ft. Grant Prison and confined to solitary confinement. A few weeks later he was transferred to ASPC Eyman in Florence, Arizona. No arrest warrant was ever issued that day, in fact the only warrant issued on October 27, 2016, was from the Arizona Department of Corrections. To this date his arrest and return to prison are both illegal acts.

After Mr. Earl Ball was handcuffed and removed from the home Detective Reno of the Willcox Police Department left the Plaintiff's residence and went to the Willcox Justice Court where he filed a completely false and untruthful statement in order to obtain a search warrant for that residence. In his statement to the Justice court Reno claimed that Mr. Earl Ball was in violation of ARS 13-3821 sexual offender registration. Mr. Earl Ball had explained the materials found by

by the parole officers were evidence in his Civil Rights Lawsuit. Because the Chief of Police of Willcox Police Department decided Mr. Earl Ball was lying, he was not given a chance to provide proof of innocence. The police department knew that the claim of violating registration was NOT true because Mr. Earl Ball had just recently met with Detective Villa and with Chief Rios when he turned in his registration paperwork to them on direction from his parole officer, Officer Maza.

IV

The search warrant was granted and served on plaintiff, Linda Ball. After over 5 hours, electronic devices, cell phones and a myriad of paperwork (including the Civil Rights Lawsuit and the corresponding evidence referenced above) were seized and removed from the residence. In response to the illegal search and seizure the plaintiff, Linda Ball filed a lawsuit against Detective Reno and the Willcox Police Department. In July of 2017 she was contacted by the attorney for Detective Reno informing her that she would be allowed to retrieve her property from the Willcox Police Department. When the property officer gave her the box of property the only items in it were the cell phones, a tablet and computer. When she inquired why only the electronic items were being returned, the property officer did not know the answer. She advised Ms. Ball to contact the Cochise County Attorney for the answer. After nine months of suggesting that further charges were pending against Linda Ball and Mr. Earl Ball only part of the items seized were returned. The police department is still withholding the Civil Right

Lawsuit and corresponding evidence, thus interfering with the legal process of that lawsuit. To date there have been no criminal charges filed against either Earl Ball or Linda Ball. So what laws did they violate? Evidentially making his brother mad enough to lie to a parole officer in Arizona was Mr. Earl Ball's violation. So why was the Plaintiff, Linda Ball, treated like a criminal in her own home? The only laws broken on the night of October 26, 2016 were committed by the defendant, Mr. Bert Ball and his co-conspirators in the Safford Parole office and by the members of the Willcox Police Department heretofore named.

Therefore, the plaintiffs pray for just compensation and any other relief the court may deem appropriate.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 3rd day of July, 2019

Earl Ball, Plaintiff, In Pro Se

Last Ball

Linda Ball, Plaintiff, In Pro Se

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

We hereby certify that on the 3rd day of July 2019 we caused the original and one copy of this petition to be filed with the clerk of the Cochise County Superior Court.

Clerk of the Cochise County Superior Court

100 Colonia De Salud #202

Sierra Vista, Az 85635